BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: AS INDICATED ON THE AGENDA

JUNE 20, 2019 DATE:

11 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR CA CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2019-13

INDEX	
ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION:	
1. CALL TO ORDER.	3
2. ROLL CALL.	3
3. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS (CLIN 1, 2 OR 3).	4
CLOSED SESSION	NONE
4. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECT PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION INFINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENT RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INTELLATING TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESEARCH ("3" ABOVE. (HEALTH & SAFETY CONTINUE 125290.30(F) (3) (B) AND (C)).	DATA, TIFIC NFORMATION
OPEN SESSION	
5. UPDATE ON PROCESSING OF APPLICATIONS.	22
6. PUBLIC COMMENT.	NONE
7. ADJOURNMENT.	31

2

	DETH C. DRAIN, CA CSK NO. / 152
1	JUNE 20, 2019; 11:00 A.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELCOME, EVERYBODY, TO
4	THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE ICOC AND APPLICATION
5	REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE FOR JUNE 2019. MARIA, WILL YOU
6	PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
8	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
10	DR. HIGGINS: YES, HERE.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
12	MR. JUELSGAARD: PRESENT.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. DAVE
14	MARTIN.
15	DR. MARTIN: PRESENT.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAURIE MILLER.
17	MS. MILLER: HERE.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA. JOE
19	PANETTA. FRANCISCO PRIETO. ROBERT QUINT. AL
20	ROWLETT.
21	MR. ROWLETT: PRESENT. IF YOU COULD ASK
22	J.T., IT WAS A LITTLE DIFFICULT TO HEAR HIM ON THE
23	PHONE.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: GREAT. THANK YOU FOR
25	THAT FEEDBACK.

3

	DETH G. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7 132
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
2	MR. SHEEHY: HERE.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
4	DR. STEWARD: HERE.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
8	MR. TORRES: PRESENTO.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: WHY, THANK YOU.
10	DIANE WINOKUR.
11	WE HAVE IS QUORUM.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU, MARIA.
13	WE HAVE ONE ITEM FOR ACTION TODAY. IT'S
14	CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE
15	TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS, CLINS 1, 2, OR 3.
16	I'LL TURN THE MEETING AT THIS POINT OVER TO MR.
17	SHEEHY.
18	MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, J.T. SO WHO'S
19	GOING TO TAKE US THROUGH THIS TODAY? IS IT GOING TO
20	BE DR. PATEL OR DR. SAMBRANO?
21	DR. PATEL: MR. SHEEHY, IT'S GOING TO BE
22	ME, SHYAM.
23	MR. SHEEHY: OH, GREAT, SHYAM. WOULD YOU
24	LIKE TO GO AHEAD WITH THE PRESENTATION?
25	DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY.
	4

1	IT'S MY PLEASURE TO PRESENT THIS
2	APPLICATION TO THE BOARD. I'M GOING TO DO A GENERAL
3	INTRODUCTION FIRST AND THEN GET UNTIL THE DETAILS OF
4	THE APPLICATION.
5	SO, AS YOU KNOW, THE CLINICAL STAGE
6	FUNDING OPPORTUNITY IS COMPOSED OF THREE DISTINCT
7	FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES. THE APPLICATION THAT IS IN
8	FRONT OF YOU TODAY IS A CLIN2 CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE
9	PROJECT.
10	WHEN THIS APPLICATION IS REVIEWED BY THE
11	GRANTS WORKING GROUP, THEY WILL INDICATE THEIR
12	PREFERENCE WITH THREE SCORES. IF IT'S GOT A TIER I
13	SCORE, IT INDICATES IT HAS EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND
14	WARRANTS FUNDING. IF A GWG MEMBER THINKS THE
15	APPLICATION DOES NOT WARRANT FUNDING AT THIS TIME,
16	THEY CAN GIVE IT A SCORE OF 2 OR 3. A SCORE OF 2
17	WOULD INDICATE THAT IT HAS SOME MINOR IMPROVEMENTS
18	THAT CAN BE MADE IN A RESUBMISSION PROCESS. IF THEY
19	THINK IT HAS SUFFICIENT FLAWS, IT CANNOT BE
20	RESUBMITTED FOR SIX MONTHS, THEY WILL GIVE IT A
21	SCORE OF 3.
22	AS YOU KNOW, WE STARTED THIS YEAR WITH A
23	\$93 MILLION ALLOCATION FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM.
24	THIS IS FOR THE GENERAL CLIN PROGRAM, BUT NOT
25	INCLUDE SICKLE CELL PROJECT. AND OF THAT, 48
	<u>_</u>

1	MILLION HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD TO DATE.
2	TODAY THE ONE APPLICATION UP FOR REVIEW IS
3	REQUESTING \$12 MILLION. IF THAT IS FUNDED BY THE
4	BOARD, THAT WOULD LEAVE \$33 MILLION GOING TOWARDS
5	THE REMAINDER OF THE YEAR FOR THE CLIN PROGRAM.
6	CIRM HAS SET INTERNAL TARGETS FOR THE
7	NUMBER OF CLIN2 AND CLIN1 PROJECTS THAT IT COULD
8	HOPE TO GET APPROVED THIS YEAR. FOR CLIN2 THERE ARE
9	FIVE APPROVED TO DATE, AND THIS WOULD MAKE THE SIXTH
10	ONE OUT OF THE EIGHT THAT WERE TARGETED. AND THERE
11	WERE TWO TARGETED FOR CLIN1, AND THOSE HAVE ALREADY
12	BEEN APPROVED. AGAIN, THESE ARE NOT MEANT TO BE
13	PROSCRIPTIVE, BUT INFORMATIVE.
14	SO THE APPLICATION TODAY, I'LL DESCRIBE
15	THIS IN A LITTLE BIT OF DETAIL, IS CLIN2-11478, AND
16	THE THERAPY ITSELF IS AUTOLOGOUS CTNS GENE-MODIFIED
17	HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS, AND THE INDICATION IS
18	CYSTINOSIS. AND THE GOAL FOR THIS PROJECT IS TO
19	COMPLETE A PHASE 1-2 CLINICAL TRIAL. AND THEY'RE
20	REQUESTING ROUGHLY \$12 MILLION, AND THE MAXIMUM
21	FUNDS ALLOWABLE FOR THIS CATEGORY IS ALSO \$12
22	MILLION.
23	ON THIS NEXT SLIDE I HAVE SOME BASIC
24	INFORMATION PREPARED FOR YOU TO HELP YOU ASSESS THE
25	FUNDING OPPORTUNITY FOR THIS PARTICULAR APPLICATION.

1	SO CYSTINOSIS IS A RELATIVELY RARE DISEASE THAT
2	AFFECTS ONE IN A HUNDRED TO 200,000 NEWBORNS A YEAR.
3	THAT'S WORLDWIDE. THE DISEASE ITSELF IS A LYSOSOMAL
4	STORAGE DISEASE AND IT'S INHERITED. AND IT'S CAUSED
5	BY A MUTATION OF THE CTNS GENE. THIS MUTATION
6	RESULTS IN NO CYSTINOSIN PROTEIN PRESENT IN CELLS.
7	THE CYSTINOSIN IS A TRANSMEMBRANE LYSOSOMAL PROTEIN
8	THAT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SHADOWING CYSTINE OUT OF
9	LYSOSOME INTO THE CELLS. WITHOUT THAT, WHAT HAPPENS
LO	IS CYSTINE BUILDS UP IN THE LYSOSOME, RESULTING IN
L1	CRYSTAL FORMATION THAT CAN CAUSE DAMAGE IN VARIOUS
L2	CELLS WITHIN VARIOUS TISSUES AND ORGANS.
L3	IN PARTICULAR, THE KIDNEY AND EYES ARE THE
L4	MOST VULNERABLE TO DAMAGE. IN THE MOST SEVERE OF
L5	CYSTINOSIS, WHICH THIS APPLICATION PROPOSES TO
L6	ADDRESS, IS EARLY ONSET AND RESULTS IN RENAL FANCONI
L7	SYNDROME, WHICH IS REDUCED FUNCTION OF THE KIDNEY AS
L8	WELL AS EVENTUAL KIDNEY FAILURE.
L9	THERE IS NO CURE FOR CYSTINOSIS, BUT THERE
20	IS A TREATMENT CURRENTLY AVAILABLE. THIS IS DAILY
21	ORAL AND EYE ADMINISTRATION OF CYSTEAMINE. THIS
22	DOES NOT ACTUALLY PREVENT RENAL FANCONI SYNDROME OR
23	END-STAGE RENAL FAILURE.
24	THE PROPOSED GENE THERAPY HAS POTENTIAL TO
25	BE A ONE-TIME TREATMENT OPTION. AND IN THE ANIMAL

1	MODEL STUDY TO DATE IT HAS SHOWN TO MAINTAIN KIDNEY
2	AND EYE FUNCTION. SO THERE IS POTENTIAL FOR IT TO
3	DO THE SAME IN HUMANS.
4	THE ADDITIONAL THING I'D WANT TO NOTE IS
5	THAT THE PROPOSED MECHANISM OF ACTION FOR THIS IS A
6	LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT THAN PREVIOUS SIMILAR
7	APPROACHES FOR LYSOSOMAL STORAGE DISORDERS. SO IN
8	GENERAL FOR THOSE TYPES OF DISORDERS WHERE HSC'S
9	HAVE BEEN USED, THE HSC PROGENY ARE TRANSFERRING
10	SOLUBLE LYSOSOMAL PROTEINS TO THESE CELLS. IN THIS
11	PARTICULAR INSTANCE, THE PROTEIN ITSELF IS A
12	TRANSMEMBRANE LYSOSOMAL PROTEIN, AND IT IS BELIEVED
13	THAT THE MECHANISM OF ACTION HERE IS CELL-CELL
14	MEDIATED CONTACT FROM THE PROGENY OF THE HSC'S,
15	WHICH ARE GOING TO BE MACROPHAGES. THE CELL-CELL
16	CONTACT WITH THE CELLS IN THE VARIOUS TISSUES AND
17	ORGANS AND ARE TRANSFERRING LYSOSOMAL PROTEIN TO
18	THOSE CELLS. AND, THUS, IT COULD HAVE POTENTIAL
19	APPLICATIONS FOR OTHER SIMILAR DISEASES AS WELL.
20	THIS IS A STEM CELL PROJECT BECAUSE, OF
21	COURSE, IT INVOLVES GENE-MODIFIED HSC'S AND, THUS,
22	THAT IS WHY IT IS ELIGIBLE FOR CIRM FUNDING.
23	WITH RESPECT TO OUR PORTFOLIO, THERE IS
24	ONE IND STAGE ACTIVITY PROJECT FOR THE SAME DISEASE
25	INDICATION, WHICH IS ACTUALLY THE EARLIER STAGE FOR

1	THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT I'LL DESCRIBE IN THE NEXT
2	SLIDE. WE DON'T HAVE ANY CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE FOR
3	CYSTINOSIS IN OUR PORTFOLIO.
4	AS I MENTIONED, WE HAVE BEEN SUPPORTING
5	THIS PROJECT SINCE IND-ENABLING STAGE WITH A CLIN1
6	AWARD. THE CLIN1 AWARD AMOUNT WAS \$5.3 MILLION, ALL
7	OF WHICH HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO DATE. THERE WERE FIVE
8	MILESTONES SET FOR THIS PARTICULAR AWARD. THE
9	APPLICANT HAS ACHIEVED THE GMP MANUFACTURING AND
10	TECH TRANSFER MILESTONES ON TIME. THEY HAVE ALSO
11	ACHIEVED PHARM-TOX SAFETY STUDIES THAT WERE
12	IND-ENABLING AS WELL AS FILING OF THE IND AND TRIAL
13	START-UP ACTIVITIES WITH MINOR DELAYS. THERE ARE
14	ONGOING PHARM-TOX STUDIES, WHICH IS WHY THIS PROJECT
15	IS STILL ACTIVE, THAT WILL BE COMPLETED AND
16	SUBMITTED TO THE FDA AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME, AND
17	THE FDA HAS AGREED TO THIS.
18	WHEN THE GWG REVIEWED THIS APPLICATION,
19	ALL 15 SCORING MEMBERS GAVE IT A SCORE OF 1, MAKING
20	IT A UNANIMOUS TIER I RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GWG.
21	AND THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION CONCURS WITH THE
22	GWG RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THIS APPLICATION FOR THE
23	AWARD AMOUNT OF ROUGHLY \$12 MILLION. AND WITH THAT,
24	I'M GOING TO HAND IT BACK TO MR. SHEEHY.
25	AND, MR. SHEEHY, I DO WANT TO NOTE THAT

THE APPLICANT IS PRESENT HERE AT CIRM HEADQUARTERS
AND IS ABLE TO SPEAK IF NEEDED.
MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, SHYAM.
SO DO I HAVE A MOTION TO EITHER ACCEPT OR
REJECT THE TEAM RECOMMENDATION AND TO FUND OR NOT
FUND IT?
DR. HIGGINS: I MOVE TO ACCEPT.
MR. SHEEHY: OKAY. TO FUND. AND DO I
HAVE A SECOND?
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND.
MR. SHEEHY: THE MOTION IS BY DR. HIGGINS
AND WHO IS THE SECOND BY?
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: J.T.
MR. SHEEHY: OKAY.
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. QUINT, YES.
MR. SHEEHY: DR. QUINT. I'M SORRY.
GREAT.
IS THERE ANY BOARD DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS
APPLICATION?
DR. MARTIN: THIS IS DAVE. I HAVE A
QUESTION. THE SUBJECTS, THE PATIENTS, WHO WILL BE
CANDIDATES FOR INCLUSION, THOSE ARE ADULT CYSTINOTIC
PATIENTS OR PEDIATRIC OR NEWBORNS? WHAT POPULATION?
DR. PATEL: THE EVENTUAL TARGET POPULATION
FOR THIS WOULD BE PEDIATRIC PATIENTS. IN THE TRIAL,
10

1	WE ARE LOOKING THAT INFORMATION UP AND WILL PROVIDE
2	IT TO YOU IN A MINUTE. WE ALSO HAVE THE APPLICANT
3	HERE WHO CAN RESPOND TO THAT QUESTION IF YOU WOULD
4	LIKE.
5	MR. SHEEHY: WE USUALLY WAIT TILL PUBLIC
6	COMMENT, BUT I THINK J.T. IS RUNNING THE MEETING
7	NOW. SO WE WILL GO AHEAD AND LET THE APPLICANT
8	SPEAK.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF, I THINK A FEW BOARD
10	MEMBERS HAVE JOINED THE CALL. SO I'M JUST GOING TO
11	CALL THEIR NAMES IF THAT'S OKAY WITH YOU.
12	DR. DEAS, ARE YOU ON THE LINE?
13	DR. DEAS: YES. HERE.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. DR. MELMED.
15	AND DR. QUINT IS OBVIOUSLY ON THE LINE. SO THANK
16	YOU. OKAY.
17	DR. PATEL: SO TO RESPOND TO THE QUESTION,
18	IN THIS PARTICULAR TRIAL, THE PATIENT WOULD HAVE TO
19	BE OVER 18 YEARS OF AGE.
20	MR. SHEEHY: DR. MARTIN, DOES THAT ANSWER
21	YOUR QUESTION? OR DO YOU HAVE QUESTIONS FOR THE
22	APPLICANT? SHOULD WE BRING THE APPLICANT UP FOR ANY
23	QUESTIONS?
24	DR. MARTIN: I COULDN'T UNDERSTAND THAT.
25	DR. PATEL: ADULT PATIENTS.
	11

	·
1	DR. MARTIN: ADULT. OKAY. THAT'S OVER
2	18? AND WHAT'S THE MAXIMUM AGE?
3	DR. PATEL: THERE IS NO MAXIMUM AGE IN THE
4	INCLUSION CRITERIA.
5	DR. MARTIN: THANK YOU.
6	DR. DULIEGE: THIS IS ANNE-MARIE. AND I A
7	HAVE A CLARIFICATION QUESTION. THE CIRM BOARD HAS
8	FUNDED APPROXIMATELY \$5.3 MILLION FOR THIS VERY
9	PROJECT, WHICH LED TO TRIALS PRODUCT. IS IT THE
10	VERY SAME TRIAL FOR WHICH WE ARE CONSIDERING FUNDING
11	\$12 MILLION OR IS THAT ANOTHER TRIAL?
12	DR. PATEL: FOR THE CLIN1 AWARD, WE DO
13	ALLOW THE APPLICANT TO DO SOME TRIAL START-UP
14	ACTIVITIES, BUT DOES NOT ACTUALLY INVOLVE TREATING
15	THE PATIENTS. SO NO PATIENTS HAVE BEEN TREATED WITH
16	CIRM FUNDING TO DATE FOR THIS TRIAL.
17	DR. DULIEGE: GREAT. THANK YOU.
18	DR. PATEL: IT'S THE PRECURSOR TO THIS
19	AWARD.
20	DR. DULIEGE: I UNDERSTAND. AND MAYBE CAN
21	WE GET A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL ABOUT THE EYE LEVEL,
22	THE STUDY DESIGN, THE NUMBER OF PATIENTS, THEIR
23	CONDITION TO BEGIN WITH, AND THE INTERVENTION AND
24	THE FOLLOW-UP?
25	DR. PATEL: IF IT'S OKAY WITH THE BOARD,
	12
	1 /

1	I'D LIKE TO HAVE THE APPLICANT RESPOND TO THAT
2	QUESTION.
3	DR. DULIEGE: THAT'S GREAT.
4	DR. CHERQUI: THANK YOU. SO I'M STEPHANIE
5	CHERQUI, AND I AM THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR ON THIS
6	GRANT. SO I WANT TO CLARIFY A COUPLE OF PATIENTS.
7	WE HAD A SECOND DESIGN ELEMENT. SO THE FIRST COHORT
8	WILL BE TWO ADULTS, AND THE SECOND COHORT IS TWO
9	ADULTS, AND FOR THE THIRD COHORT OF TWO PATIENTS, IF
10	THE RISK ASSESSMENT IS GOOD, WE ENROLL AS OF THIS
11	TIME. THAT'S JUST CLARIFICATION.
12	SO WE HAVE THE PATIENT COMING FOR
13	TRAINING, AND THEN WE HAD A BASELINE STUDY WHICH
14	WILL EVALUATE ALL THE TISSUES BECAUSE THIS DISEASE
15	AFFECTS ALL THE TISSUES OF THE BODY, THE KIDNEY, THE
16	EYE, THE LEFT SIDE OF THE BRAIN, (UNINTELLIGIBLE).
17	SO WE NEEDED TO GO THROUGH A MAJOR STUDY OF ALL THE
18	COMPLICATIONS. AND SO WE HAVE A BASELINE
19	(UNINTELLIGIBLE). THEN WE WILL COLLECT
20	(UNINTELLIGIBLE) AND WILL BE MANUFACTURED BY UCLA BY
21	DONALD KOHN. AND THIS WILL TAKE TWO, THREE MONTHS.
22	AND AFTER WE'LL COME BACK, THE PATIENTS WILL COME
23	BACK FOR TRANSPLANTATION. AND THEN AFTER
24	TRANSPLANTATION, THEY WILL HAVE A FOLLOW-UP VISIT TO
25	ASSESS SAFETY, BUT ALSO EFFICACY BECAUSE IT'S A

1	PHASE 1-2 CLINICAL TRIAL. WE WILL HAVE FOLLOW-UP
2	VISITS EVERY SIX MONTHS FOR THREE YEARS.
3	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU.
4	DR. MARTIN: THIS IS DAVE. I HAVE SOME
5	OTHER QUESTIONS SINCE THE APPLICANT IS PRESENT. AND
6	THAT IS THESE ADULT PATIENTS PRESUMABLY ARE GOING TO
7	HAVE SOME DEGREE OF FANCONI'S. AND WHAT EVIDENCE DO
8	YOU HAVE OF THE REVERSIBILITY IN EXPERIMENTAL
9	ANIMALS OF THE RENAL DISEASE? AND IS THAT GOING TO
10	BE AN ENDPOINT IN THE STUDY AND THE INITIAL STUDY?
11	DR. CHERQUI: THESE ARE VERY GOOD POINTS.
12	SO WE HAVE EVENTS THAT IF PATIENT HAS FANCONI
13	SYNDROME, WE DO A REVERSE BY THIS TREATMENT BECAUSE
14	THE FANCONI SYNDROME IS NOT JUST THE ACTUATION OF
15	CYSTINE, BUT DUE THE ABSENCE PROTEIN. AND WE SHOWED
16	BY OUR MECHANISM OF ACTION THAT WE CAN RESTORE THE
17	PROTEIN INTO THE KIDNEY CELLS. SO WE SHOWED THAT IN
18	MICE WE CAN REVERSE THIS. THE SECOND IMPORTANT AND
19	(UNINTELLIGIBLE) OF THE EYE, WE SHOW THAT WE CAN
20	CLEAR THE CYSTINE CRYSTALS IN THE CORNEA WHICH
21	RESULTS IN BETTER EYE FUNCTION.
22	AND I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT THERE WAS AN
23	ALLOGENEIC TRANSPLANT THAT WAS PERFORMED IN BELGIUM.
24	IT JUST GOT BACK AND IT WAS RECENTLY PUBLISHED.
25	UNFORTUNATELY, THIS KID WAS 16 YEARS OLD AND HE DID

1	NOT PROGRESS BECAUSE IT WAS AN ALLOGENEIC
2	TRANSPLANT. AND HE STAYED IN THE HOSPITAL FOR MORE
3	THAN A YEAR AND EVENTUALLY DIED BECAUSE OF THE
4	(UNINTELLIGIBLE) THAT DEVELOPED. AND IN SPITE OF
5	THIS SAD AND UNFORTUNATE CASE, THE PHYSICIAN SHOULD
6	SHOW THAT THERE WAS IMPROVEMENT OF THE FANCONI
7	SYNDROME, STABILIZATION OF THE KIDNEY FUNCTION, AND
8	THE EYE DEFECT. SO THE PHOTOPHOBIA, BECAUSE OF THE
9	CORNEA CRYSTAL, WAS COMPLETELY RESOLVED. THE
10	PATIENT HAD A GREAT PHOTOPHOBIA TO NO PHOTOPHOBIA
11	TALL.
12	SO BECAUSE OF ALL THIS EVIDENCE OF OUR
13	STUDIES AND BECAUSE OF THIS CASE, SECOND CASE, WE
14	BELIEVE THAT THE KIDNEY AND THE EYE WILL BE VERY
15	STRONG ENDPOINT. IF WE HAVE OTHER ENDPOINT, WE WILL
16	STUDY THE FUNCTION, HALF FUNCTION, AND WE REALLY
17	GO WE STUDY ALL THE COMPLICATIONS.
18	DR. MARTIN: HOW MANY SUBJECTS THANK
19	YOU. AND HOW MANY SUBJECTS, PATIENTS, WOULD FIT
20	YOUR INCLUSION OR SATISFY YOUR INCLUSION CRITERIA IN
21	THE STATE?
22	DR. CHERQUI: SO WE ARE TRYING WITH SIX
23	PATIENTS, AND SO FAR WE HAVE MANY PATIENT
24	VOLUNTEERS, AND I'M HAPPY TO REPORT THAT WE HAVE OUR
25	FIRST PATIENT THAT WILL START IN JULY 8. SO IT'S

1	COMING, AND ACTUALLY IT STARTS JULY 8TH, AND IT'S A
2	20 YEARS OLD BOY WITH NO KIDNEY TRANSPLANT AND
3	PRETTY HEALTHY. SO IT'S A REALLY GOOD FIRST
4	PATIENT. WE HAVE MANY VOLUNTEERS ALREADY, AND SO
5	IT'S A MATTER OF TREATING THEM, WHICH I'M TRYING NOT
6	TO BE WE HAVE TWO CLINICAL PI THAT HAVE DONE OUR
7	TRANSPLANTATION AND A MEDICAL DOCTOR IN CYSTINOSIS.
8	SO WE HAVE A CHOICE THAT WE HAVE MANY CANDIDATES.
9	DR. MARTIN: THANK YOU.
10	MR. SHEEHY: ARE THERE OTHER BOARD
11	COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FOR THE APPLICANT? ARE THERE
12	ANY
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE AREN'T ANY
14	QUESTIONS HERE.
15	MR. SHEEHY: IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT
16	AT ANY OF THE SITES?
17	DR. CHERQUI: I HAVE PREPARED SOMETHING,
18	HAVE A POINT I WANTED TO SAY. SO I JUST WANT TO ADD
19	SOMETHING. I WOULD REALLY SINCERELY THANK THE CIRM
20	BECAUSE OF THE T-1 GRANT THAT WE RECEIVED IN 2016.
21	BECAUSE OF THIS GRANT, WE WERE ABLE TO DO ALL THE
22	STUDY AND PREPARE THE IND IN A RELATIVELY SHORT TIME
23	OF THREE YEARS, WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN COMPLETELY
24	IMPOSSIBLE WITHOUT CIRM FUNDING. SO I WANTED TO
25	TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THAT.

1	I ALSO WANTED TO POINT OUT THAT I HAVE AN
2	OUTSTANDING TEAM THAT TAKE CARE OF THIS CLINICAL
3	TRIAL. WE HAVE THE CRITICAL SUPPORT OF THE UCSD
4	SIGHT CLINIC AND THE (UNINTELLIGIBLE) CENTER. WE
5	HAVE AN AMAZING MEDICAL TEAM OF 12 PHYSICIAN WHO ARE
6	VERY DEDICATED, AND I'M EXCITED (UNINTELLIGIBLE).
7	AND WE HAVE, AS I SAID, MANUFACTURING OF THE PRODUCT
8	THAT IS DONE BY DONALD KOHN, WHO IS WELL KNOWN BY
9	CIRM, AND WE ARE (UNINTELLIGIBLE) ON THIS PROJECT.
10	AND I JUST WANT TO SHARE AND POINT OUT THE
11	MECHANISM OF ACTION THAT WE SHOWED ALLOWED US TO
12	APPLY THIS PROOF OF CONCEPT TO ALL THE OTHER
13	DISORDER THAT WAS NOT SOUGHT AS AN OPTION FOR THIS
14	KIND OF TREATMENT. AND WE HAVE ALREADY SHOWN
15	SUCCESSFULLY SHOWN THE PROOF OF CONCEPT ON ANOTHER
16	(UNINTELLIGIBLE) DISORDER, BALINT DISEASE, AND WHICH
17	WE RECEIVED RECENTLY A QUEST CIRM GRANT. AND THIS
18	PATIENT GOT A HEART TRANSPLANT. AND SO WE SHOWED
19	THAT STEM CELL INTERACT, AND WE SHALL TRY THAT ON
20	FRIEDREICH ATAXIA, WHICH IS (UNINTELLIGIBLE)
21	DISORDER FOR WHICH THERE IS NO TREATMENT.
22	SO I THINK THAT IF THIS TRIAL IS
23	SUCCESSFUL, THERE IS MANY APPLICATION THAT WE COULD
24	WORK. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.
25	I THINK ALSO WANTED TO DO A QUICK OTHER

1	COMMENT. NANCY STACK IS THE DIRECTOR OF THE AMAZING
2	CYSTINOSIS RESEARCH FOUNDATION WHO HAS WORKED WITH
3	US SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THIS PROJECT.
4	MS. STACK: HELLO. MY NAME IS NANCY
5	STACK. AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO
6	SPEND A MINUTE OR TWO TO TALK ABOUT CYSTINOSIS.
7	I'M ARE THE FOUNDER AND PRESIDENT OF THE
8	CYSTINOSIS RESEARCH FOUNDATION. OUR DAUGHTER
9	NATALIE WAS DIAGNOSED WITH CYSTINOSIS WHEN SHE WAS
10	AN INFANT. CYSTINOSIS, AS YOU KNOW NOW, IS A RARE
11	GENETIC DISEASE THAT'S CHARACTERIZED BY THE ABNORMAL
12	ACCUMULATION OF CYSTINE IN EVERY CELL IN THE BODY.
13	THE BUILDUP OF CYSTINE DESTROYS EVERY ORGAN IN THE
14	BODY INCLUDING THE KIDNEYS, EYES, LIVER, THYROID,
15	AND BRAIN. THE AVERAGE DEATH FROM CYSTINOSIS AND
16	ITS COMPLICATIONS IS 28 YEARS OF AGE. FOR CHILDREN
17	AND ADULTS WITH CYSTINOSIS, THERE ARE NO HEALTHY
18	DAYS. THEY TAKE BETWEEN 8 TO 12 MEDICATIONS AROUND
19	THE CLOCK EVERY DAY JUST TO STAY ALIVE. NATALIE
20	TAKES 45 PILLS A DAY. IT'S A RELENTLESS AND
21	DEVASTATING DISEASE.
22	MEDICAL COMPLICATIONS ABOUND, AND OUR
23	CHILDREN'S LIVES ARE FILLED WITH A MYRIAD OF
24	SYMPTOMS AND TREATMENTS. THERE ARE FEED TUBE
25	FEEDINGS, KIDNEY TRANSPLANTS, BONE PAIN, DAILY

1	VOMITING, SWALLOWING DIFFICULTIES, MUSCLE WASTING,
2	SEVERE GASTROINTESTINAL SIDE EFFECTS, AND FOR SOME
3	BLINDNESS.
4	WE STARTED THE FOUNDATION IN 2003. WE
5	HAVE WORKED WITH AND FUNDED DR. STEPHANIE CHERQUI
6	SINCE 2006. AS A FOUNDATION, OUR RESOURCES ARE
7	LIMITED, BUT WE WERE ABLE TO FUND THE INITIAL GRANT
8	FOR STEPHANIE'S STEM CELL STUDIES. WHEN CIRM
9	AWARDED A GRANT TO STEPHANIE IN 2016, IT ALLOWED HER
10	TO COMPLETE THE STUDIES, FILE THE IND, AND AS A
11	RESULT WE NOW HAVE FDA APPROVAL FOR THE CLINICAL
12	TRIALS. YOUR SUPPORT HAS CHANGED THE COURSE OF THIS
13	DISEASE.
14	WHEN THE FDA APPROVED THE CLINICAL TRIAL
15	FOR CYSTINOSIS LATE LAST YEAR, OUR COMMUNITY WAS
16	FILLED WITH THE RENEWED SENSE OF HOPE AND OPTIMISM.
17	I HEARD FROM 32 ADULTS WITH CYSTINOSIS, ALL OF THEM
18	INTERESTED IN THE CLINICAL TRIALS. OUR ADULTS KNOW
19	THAT THIS IS THEIR ONLY CHANCE TO LIVE A FULL LIFE.
20	WITHOUT THIS TREATMENT, THEY WILL DIE FROM
21	CYSTINOSIS. IN EVERY E-MAIL I RECEIVED, THERE WAS A
22	MESSAGE OF HOPE AND GRATITUDE.
23	I RECEIVED AN E-MAIL FROM A YOUNG WOMAN
24	WHO SAID THIS: "IT'S A NEW AWAKENING TO LEARN THIS
25	MORNING THAT HUMAN CLINICAL TRIALS HAVE BEEN
	MORNING THAT HUMAN CLINICAL TRIALS HAVE BEEN

1	APPROVED BY THE FDA. I REITERATE MY IMMENSE
2	INTEREST TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS TRIAL AS SOON AS
3	POSSIBLE BECAUSE MY QUALITY OF LIFE IS AT A LOW EBB
4	AND THE TRIAL IS REALLY MY ONLY HOPE. TIME IS
5	RUNNING OUT."
6	AND A MOM OF A 19-YEARS-OLD YOUNG MAN WHO
7	WANTS TO BE THE FIRST PATIENT IN THE TRIAL WROTE AND
8	SAID THIS: "ON THE DAY THE TRIAL WAS ANNOUNCED, I
9	STARTED TO CRY TEARS OF PURE HAPPINESS. AND I
10	THOUGHT, ANOTHER SUMMER JUST TO WAKE UP AND HAVE A
11	CHILD WHO WILL NO LONGER HAVE CYSTINOSIS. I FELT SO
12	HAPPY FOR WHOMEVER THAT MOM WOULD BE. I NEVER
13	IMAGINED THE MOM I WAS THINKING ABOUT COULD BE ME.
14	I'M SO HUMBLED TO HAVE THIS OPPORTUNITY FOR MY SON
15	TO TRY TO LIVE DISEASE FREE."
16	MY OWN DAUGHTER NATALIE WENT INTO MY ARMS
17	THAT DAY AND WE CRIED TEARS OF JOY. FINALLY, THE
18	HOPE WE HAD CLUNG TO WAS NOW A REALITY. WE HAD COME
19	FULL CIRCLE. I ASKED NATALIE HOW IT FELT TO KNOW
20	THAT SHE COULD BE CURED. AND SHE SAID, "I SPENT MY
21	ENTIRE LIFE THINKING THAT I WOULD DIE FROM
22	CYSTINOSIS IN MY 30S, BUT NOW I MIGHT LIVE A FULL
23	LIFE AND I'M THINKING ABOUT HOW MUCH THAT CHANGES
24	HOW I THINK ABOUT MY FUTURE. I NEVER PLAN TOO FAR
25	AHEAD, BUT NOW I CAN."

1	AS A MOTHER, WORDS CAN'T POSSIBLY CONVEY
2	WHAT IT FEELS LIKE TO KNOW THAT MY CHILD HAS A
3	CHANCE TO LIVE A LONG, HEALTHY LIFE FREE OF
4	CYSTINOSIS. I CAN BREATHE AGAIN. ON BEHALF OF ALL
5	THE CHILDREN AND ADULTS WITH CYSTINOSIS, THANK YOU
6	FOR FUNDING DR. CHERQUI, FOR CARING ABOUT OUR
7	COMMUNITY, FOR VALUING OUR CHILDREN, AND FOR MAKING
8	THIS TREATMENT A REALITY. OUR COMMUNITY IS READY TO
9	START THIS TRIAL. THANK YOU FOR MAKING IT HAPPEN.
10	THANK YOU.
11	MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. IS THERE
12	ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT? SO COULD WE CALL THE
13	ROLL ON THE MOTION TO ACCEPT THE TEAM RECOMMENDATION
14	AND FUND THIS APPLICATION?
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
16	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
18	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
20	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
22	DR. MARTIN: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
24	MS. MILLER: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
	21
	<u></u>

1	DR. QUINT: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
3	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
5	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
7	DR. STEWARD: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
11	MR. TORRES: AYE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
13	MR. SHEEHY: THAT CONCLUDES THE BUSINESS
14	OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, JEFF.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY.
17	WE HAVE ONE OTHER ITEM WHICH IS NOT AN
18	ACTION ITEM TO DISCUSS WITH MEMBERS OF THE ARS. AS
19	WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING IN SOME DETAIL FOR QUITE A
20	LENGTH OF TIME, THE TIME WAS GOING TO COME WHEN THE
21	FUNDING AVAILABLE FOR NEW AWARDS WAS GOING TO RUN
22	OUT AND THAT THAT WOULD PRECIPITATE NOT MAKING
23	FURTHER NEW CLIN APPLICATIONS. WE TALKED ABOUT THIS
24	AT OUR LAST BOARD MEETING, AND I THINK SHERRY, AMONG
25	OTHERS, SAID THAT WE NEED TO MAKE SURE WE ARE
	22

1	NOTIFYING THE GRANTEE COMMUNITY WHAT THE STATE OF
2	PLAY IS WITH AVAILABLE FUNDING AND WHEN WE WOULD
3	HAVE TO STOP TAKING FURTHER APPLICATIONS PENDING
4	RAISING FURTHER BRIDGE FUNDING.
5	AND SO THAT TOPIC IS NOW RIPE, HAVING
6	TAKEN STOCK OF WHAT IS IN THE PIPELINE NOW TO BE
7	REVIEWED IN THE NEXT ONE OR TWO GWG'S AND AS A
8	RESULT OF THAT AND THE REAL POSSIBILITY THAT AWARDS
9	THROUGH WHAT WILL BE REVIEWED IN AUGUST COULD
10	POSSIBLY EXCEED WHAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE FOR FUNDING.
11	WE HAVE AN UPDATE, WHICH IS ITEM NO. 5, ON THIS
12	SUBJECT, AND THAT WILL BE DISCUSSED BY DR. SAMBRANO.
13	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, DR. THOMAS.
14	SO AS WAS MENTIONED, WE ARE TRYING TO KEEP
15	A CLOSE EYE, PARTICULARLY THIS YEAR, ON OUR OVERALL
16	BUDGET FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM. AND SO TRYING TO
17	DETERMINE AND PREDICT, TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN,
18	APPLICATIONS THAT ARE COMING IN, THOSE THAT ARE
19	LIKELY TO GET APPROVED, AND WHEN THAT BUDGET IS
20	LIKELY TO BE DEPLETED.
21	SO IN THE MEMO WE SUMMARIZE WHAT IS THE
22	CURRENT STATE OF THE PROGRAM WITH MODIFICATIONS.
23	BASED ON THE APPROVAL OF THE APPLICATION TODAY, WE
24	HAVE 33 MILLION NOW THAT REMAIN IN THE CLINICAL
25	BUDGET, AND WE HAVE SEVERAL APPLICATIONS THAT ARE IN

1	THE SYSTEM, MEANING THAT THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF
2	REVIEW IN SOME WAY. SO WE HAVE A COUPLE OF
3	APPLICATIONS THAT WILL BE REVIEWED NEXT TUESDAY BY
4	THE GWG. WE HAVE WHAT WE EXPECT TO BE EIGHT
5	APPLICATIONS THAT WILL BE REVIEWED IN JULY, WHICH
6	INCLUDE SOME TIER II'S THAT ARE COMING BACK. SO
7	WITH ALL OF THAT, WE HAVE ABOUT 76 MILLION TOTAL
8	REQUESTS FROM ALL OF THOSE APPLICATIONS.
9	IN ADDITION TO THOSE, WE EXPECT
10	APPLICATIONS TO COME IN AT THE END OF THIS MONTH,
11	PROBABLY UP TO FOUR, AND WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THOSE
12	BUDGETS SPECIFICALLY WILL BE, BUT THEY WOULD ADD TO
13	THE 76 MILLION AND COULD CARRY IT OVER TO ABOVE 90
14	MILLION.
15	SO GIVEN THOSE FACTORS, WHAT WE HAVE
16	DECIDED TO DO IS, ONE, IS ALERT APPLICANTS OF THE
17	POSSIBILITY THAT EVEN IF THEY APPLY AT THE END OF
18	THIS MONTH, THERE MAY BE NO FUNDS AVAILABLE BY THE
19	TIME THEY REACH THE END OF THE REVIEW CYCLE. AND
20	ALSO THAT, ASSUMING THIS CONTINUES TO HOLD, THAT WE
21	WOULD NOT HAVE AN OPEN APPLICATION FOR THE END OF
22	JULY, WHICH IS THE TYPICAL END-OF-THE-MONTH DEADLINE
23	IN JULY, MAY NOT HAPPEN IF WE FEEL THAT WE ARE
24	LIKELY TO DEPLETE FUNDS.
25	SO THAT'S A SUMMARY OF THE UPDATE AND

1	HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS IF YOU HAVE THEM.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DO MEMBERS OF THE ARS
3	HAVE QUESTIONS FOR DR. SAMBRANO?
4	MR. SHEEHY: I ACTUALLY HAVE A QUESTION.
5	SO HOW ARE WE NOTIFYING APPLICANTS? ARE WE PUTTING
6	THE WORD ON THE WEBSITE?
7	DR. SAMBRANO: SO WE ARE DOING IT SO
8	THERE'S TWO PLACES. THE PRIMARY PLACE IS IN THE
9	WEBSITE WHERE THE APPLICATION IS. SO ANYBODY WHO
10	INTENDS TO SUBMIT OR OPEN AN APPLICATION WILL SEE IT
11	IN THE FACE PAGE OF THAT AREA. WE ALSO INTEND TO
12	POST IT IN THE PUBLIC WEBSITE PAGE JUST TO ALERT
13	ANYBODY WHO IS COMING ANEW TO SEE THE PROGRAM
14	ANNOUNCEMENTS. AND THEN FOR THOSE THAT WE HAPPEN TO
15	KNOW THAT ARE EITHER IN CONTACT WITH CIRM SCIENCE
16	OFFICERS, WE ARE HAVING THEM ALSO ALERT THEM OF WHAT
17	THE SITUATION IS SO THAT THEY CAN DECIDE
18	APPROPRIATELY WHAT TO DO.
19	DR. STEWARD: SO THIS IS OS. COULD I MAKE
20	A COMMENT?
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SURE.
22	DR. STEWARD: THIS REALLY FOLLOWS UP ON
23	WHAT SHERRY HAD SAID AT OUR LAST DISCUSSION OF THIS,
24	WHICH IS THAT WE NEED TO BE CONSCIOUS OF THE FACT
25	THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE OUT THERE HAVE BEEN WORKING

1	HARD TO PREPARE APPLICATIONS AND THAT JUST SHUTTING
2	OFF THE SPIGOT COMPLETELY AND IN SUCH A VERY SHORT
3	TIME FRAME COULD BE HIGHLY DISRUPTIVE. THAT'S MY
4	CONCERN.
5	OBVIOUSLY IT DOES NOT MAKE SENSE EITHER TO
6	CONTINUE TO ACCEPT APPLICATIONS WHEN WE KNOW THERE'S
7	NOT GOING TO BE MONEY AVAILABLE BECAUSE THAT AT
8	LEAST IMPLIES TO THE INVESTIGATORS THAT THERE IS
9	SOME CHANCE OF FUNDING. SO I'VE BEEN THINKING ABOUT
10	THIS, AND I HAVEN'T ACTUALLY BEEN ABLE TO COMPLETELY
11	FORMULATE AN IDEA, BUT BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION, THOSE
12	ARE MY CONCERNS.
13	AND JUST TO OFFER A VERY PRELIMINARY AND
14	NOT VERY WELL THOUGHT OUT ALTERNATIVE OR MAYBE
15	MODIFICATION TO WHAT'S BEING PROPOSED HERE, WHAT I
16	WOULD SUGGEST IS SOMETHING MORE LIKE A PAUSE. SO
17	LET ME UNPACK THAT JUST A BIT.
18	RATHER THAN SAYING WE ARE NOT GOING TO
19	ACCEPT ANY MORE APPLICATIONS AFTER JULY, INDICATE
20	THAT WE WILL NOT ACCEPT APPLICATIONS IN THE NORMAL
21	TIME FRAME IN JULY. HOWEVER, WE WILL RECONSIDER THE
22	SITUATION WHEN, FOR EXAMPLE, SEPTEMBER WHEN THE FULL
23	BOARD GETS A CHANCE TO MEET. THAT DELAY OR PAUSE,
24	IF YOU WANT, WILL GIVE US TIME TO ASSESS THE EXTENT
25	TO WHICH ANY FUNDS COME BACK TO CIRM BECAUSE OF
	26

1	PROJECTS THAT HAVE FAILED TO MEET MILESTONES, WOULD
2	HAVE A BETTER IDEA OF THE OVERALL BUDGET SITUATION
3	FOR THE YEAR. THERE MAY BE OTHER CONDITIONS AND
4	CONSIDERATIONS AS WELL; FOR EXAMPLE, WE WILL BE
5	CONSIDERING THE DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS INTO DIFFERENT
6	BUCKETS. SO RIGHT NOW WE ARE LOOKING AT THE CLIN
7	BUDGET AND THE TRAN BUDGET, AND IT MAY BE
8	APPROPRIATE TO ACTUALLY RECONSIDER EXACTLY HOW MUCH
9	IS THERE. THAT ALL COULD BE DONE IN SEPTEMBER WHEN
10	WE HAVE A MUCH BETTER IDEA.
11	THE THIRD REASON FOR DOING THIS IS THAT IF
12	THERE IS EVEN ENOUGH MONEY IN THE BUCKET TO FUND ONE
13	GRANT, THEN AT LEAST THIS GIVES THE INVESTIGATORS
14	THAT INFORMATION. THEY CAN CONTINUE TO PROCESS AND
15	PREPARE THEIR APPLICATIONS WITH THE UNDERSTANDING
16	THAT THE PROBABILITY OF FUNDING IS GOING TO BE VERY
17	LOW, BUT NEVERTHELESS THEY CAN MAKE A DECISION BASED
18	ON THE PROBABILITY OF FUNDING WHICH WE ALL DO
19	ANYWAY. THAT'S JUST PART OF THE GAME.
20	AGAIN, THIS IS TO AVOID THE SUDDEN AND
21	WHAT SEEMS TO BE A VERY ABRUPT CLOSING OF THE VALVE.
22	I'LL JUST THROW THAT OUT THERE FOR DISCUSSION.
23	THANK YOU.
24	MR. SHEEHY: OS, THIS IS JEFF. IT IS
25	ABRUPT, BUT THAT'S KIND OF WHERE WE ARE, RIGHT? SO

1	I DON'T THINK I JUST DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH WE CAN
2	PROMISE IN TERMS OF ADDITIONAL FUNDING. IF YOU LOOK
3	AT THE NUMBERS, WE HAD 33 MILLION AND WE HAVE
4	APPLICATIONS WORTH 76 MILLION, AND THEN WE HAVE
5	APPLICATIONS ON TOP OF THAT COMING IN AS WELL. SO
6	POTENTIALLY LOOKING AT THREE TIMES THE AMOUNT WE
7	HAVE ALLOCATED APPLICATIONS WORTH THREE TIMES THE
8	AMOUNT ALLOCATED. IT JUST DOESN'T SEEM LIKE WE CAN
9	CREDIBLY SAY THAT THERE WILL BE ANY SIGNIFICANT
10	PROGRAMMING PAST WHAT WE ARE DOING NOW.
11	AND THE OTHER QUESTION I MIGHT RAISE, AS
12	THE TEAM HAS IT, IS WHAT IS THE ANTICIPATED, IF THEY
13	KNOW AT THIS POINT, WHAT ARE THEY LOOKING AT AS
14	POTENTIAL RETURN COMING BACK ON FUNDS THAT HAVE
15	BEEN YEAH. WHAT ARE WE LOOKING AT IN TERMS OF
16	FUNDS THAT ARE RETURNED FOR PROJECTS THAT HAVE
17	FAILED TO MEET THEIR MILESTONES? YOU HAVE A NUMBER
18	OR BALLPARK?
19	DR. STEWARD: BEFORE THEY ANSWER BEFORE
20	CIRM TEAM ANSWERS THAT, CAN I JUST MAYBE ADDRESS
21	WHAT YOUR FIRST POINT WAS, WHICH IS, AGAIN, I AGREE
22	WITH EVERYTHING YOU SAID. AND THE ONLY THING THAT
23	I'M DIFFERING ON IS A COMPLETE HALT VERSUS A PAUSE.
24	WHAT I WOULD PROPOSE IS THAT WE SIMPLY INDICATE
25	THAT, YES, THERE WON'T BE AN OPEN ROUND IN JULY OR

1	AUGUST, BUT THAT THE SITUATION WOULD BE REEVALUATED
2	IN SEPTEMBER AND, DEPENDING ON THE AVAILABILITY OF
3	FUNDS, BY THAT TIME WE WILL KNOW THE OUTCOME OF THE
4	ONES THAT ARE IN THE SYSTEM, THEN WE CAN DETERMINE
5	WHETHER OR NOT TO HAVE ANOTHER ROUND OF FUNDING.
6	IT'S MAYBE A SMALL DIFFERENCE, BUT I THINK
7	IT'S AN IMPORTANT DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF PERCEPTION
8	AS FAR AS GIVING SOME OPPORTUNITY AND THOUGHT TO HOW
9	WE END THIS PROGRAM IN AN ORGANIZED WAY, LET'S CALL
10	IT. THANK YOU.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE HAVE COMMENTS IN
12	ORDER FROM GABE AND THEN FROM GIL.
13	MR. THOMPSON: YES. THIS IS GABE
14	THOMPSON, VICE PRESIDENT OF GRANTS AND OPERATIONS.
15	WE HAVE AS OF TODAY APPROXIMATELY \$17.9 MILLION IN
16	FUNDING THAT WE'VE ACCRUED THAT IS IN OUR
17	UNALLOCATED BUCKET. AND SO THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE AS
18	OF TODAY.
19	DR. SAMBRANO: SO THANK YOU. THIS IS GIL.
20	SO JUST TO ADDRESS, OS, YOUR CONCERN, OUR INTENT
21	WITH THIS MEMO WAS TO GIVE YOU AND OTHER BOARD
22	MEMBERS A PICTURE OF WHERE WE BELIEVE WE ARE TODAY.
23	BUT WITH THAT SAID, KNOWING THAT THINGS CAN CHANGE
24	DEPENDING ON OUTCOMES AT NEXT WEEK'S GWG REVIEW IF
25	NOTHING GETS RECOMMENDED, IF EVERYTHING GETS A
	20

1	THREE, THEN AND NO APPLICATIONS COME IN IN JUNE,
2	THEN THE DECISION MAY BE TO KEEP THE JULY
3	APPLICATION DEADLINE OPEN AND EXTEND IT UNTIL IT
4	SEEMS APPROPRIATE.
5	BUT WE ALSO AGREE THAT IF THERE ARE
6	CLEARLY FUNDS THAT BECOME AVAILABLE, EITHER THROUGH
7	THE RETURNS LATER ON, THAT WE WOULD REOPEN THE
8	APPLICATION WINDOW AND THAT OPPORTUNITY. BUT PART
9	OF THIS WAS JUST TO KEEP YOU AS WELL AS THE PUBLIC
10	INFORMED OF WHERE WE THINK WE ARE KNOWING THAT IT
11	COULD CHANGE.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ARE THERE OTHER COMMENTS
13	FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? OKAY. THEN I THINK WE'D
14	TAKE NOTE OF ALL THE COMMENTS WE'VE HAD. GIL, THANK
15	YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE MEMO. WE WILL INDEED SEE WHAT
16	HAPPENS IN THE SHORT TERM WITH THE GWG REVIEWS AND
17	THE SUBMISSIONS, AND IT WILL SUPPLEMENT OUR THINKING
18	ON THIS POINT ACCORDINGLY.
19	OKAY. THAT BRINGS US NOW TO PUBLIC
20	COMMENT ON ANY TOPICS IN GENERAL. DO WE HAVE ANY
21	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC EITHER HERE OR ON THE PHONE
22	WHO WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK AT THIS POINT? HEARING
23	NONE
24	CONFERENCE OPERATOR: IF YOU'D LIKE TO ASK
25	A QUESTION FROM THE PHONE, PRESS STAR ONE.

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. HEARING NO PUBLIC
2	COMMENT, THAT CONCLUDES THE BUSINESS OF TODAY'S
3	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE. THANK YOU,
4	EVERYBODY, FOR ATTENDING AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO THE
5	NEXT MEETING, WHICH WILL BE TELEPHONIC IN JULY.
6	(THE PROCEEDING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT
7	10:10 A.M.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	31
) <u>+</u>

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JUNE 20, 2019, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO 208-255-5453